

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Manjit Singh alias Kuku,**  
S/o Mahinder Singh,  
Mohalla Near Wada Gurdwara,  
Sunam, District Sangrur.

### **Versus**

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Assistant Labour Commissioner,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Labour Commissioner Punjab.,  
Mohali.

### **Appeal Case No. 3812 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)**

#### **PRESENT:**

(Appellant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

#### **ORDER:**

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **19.3.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding a complaint cited in the RTI application**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **20.4.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.8.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2022**.

2. Both parties are absent. At the last hearing on 9.6.2022, the appellant was given an opportunity to be heard in person at today's hearing. He has however, chosen to remain absent.

3. In view of the above, the Appeal is **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Prem Kumar Rattan,**  
H.No.78/8, Park Road,  
Navi Mandi, Dhuri,  
Sangrur.

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Executive Engineer,  
Irrigation Department,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Water Resources Minister, Pb.,  
Chandigarh.

## Appeal Case No. 4729 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **30.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding approvals for construction on irrigation department land etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2021**.

2. Both parties are absent. Meanwhile, the respondent PIO in a reply to the Show Cause Notice issued to him on 9.6.2022, has submitted copies of two letters written to the appellant vide Letter No. 4447-48 Dated 12.7.2022 and Letter No. 2822 Dated 13.5.2022, by way of pointwise replies to the RTI application along with two pages of information. The appellant has however, in a letter to the Commission Dated 15.7.2022, contended that he has received no reply/information from the PIO.

3. However, in view of the copies of the reply submitted by the PIO, the Commission is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. The appellant can seek copies of the PIO's reply from the office of this Bench in case he has still not received the same.

4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of.**

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Prem Kumar Rattan,**  
H.No.78/8, Park Road,  
Navi Mandi, Dhuri,  
Sangrur.

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Executive Engineer,  
Irrigation Department,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Executive Engineer,  
Irrigation Department,  
Sangrur.

### Appeal Case No. 4911 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

(Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **4.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding approval for shops, passages on irrigation department land; expenditure on distributary canals etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **29.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2022**.

2. Both parties are absent. However, as directed by the Commission in its Order on 9.6.2022, the respondent PIO has submitted copy of affidavit vide Letter No. 4424-25 Dated 14.7.2022. The original affidavit has been sent to the appellant.

3. In view of this, the Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Joginder Singh,**  
S/o Dayal Singh,  
H.No. B-IV/922, Old Radha Swami Street,  
Barnala – 148 101.

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o District Manager,  
Punjab State Warehousing Corporation,  
Barnala.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Managing Director,  
Punjab State Warehousing Corporation,  
Pb., Chandigarh.

## Appeal Case No. 4945 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Rinku, Godown Attendant (for the Respondent) 98151-74451

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **10.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **by way of a certified copy of the Court Order vide which FCI released a refund of Rs. 20,730,487/- to PSWC on 8.5.2017 along with all attendant documents in five points etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **17.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2021**.
2. The appellant is absent for the second successive Hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Rinku, Godown Attendant, has meanwhile, as directed by this Commission submitted copy of an affidavit that was sent to the appellant.
3. The Commission has examined said affidavit and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of.**

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Bhola Singh,**  
S/o Joginder Singh,  
Village Nagla, Tehsil Lehra, District Sangrur

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
Sangrur.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Chief Conservator of Forests,  
Mohali.

### Appeal Case No. 4066 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Bhola Singh (Appellant) 75280-49892  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **8.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding action on the application Dated 27.10.2020 to the Chief Conservator of Forests regarding theft in the Forest Range Lehra Gaga**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.5.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **7.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2022**.
2. Both parties are present. As directed at the last hearing, the respondent PIO has sent a copy of the reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 80 Dated 14.7.2022, along with some 50 pages of information regarding status of the enquiry of the complaint cited in the RTI application.
3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Ajay Kumar,**  
# 1, Rose Avenue Colony,  
Near Improvement Trust Office,  
Malerkotla.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
Sangrur.  
**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forest,  
South Circle, Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 4282 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ajay Kumar (Appellant) 98153-53588  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Singh, Forest Guard (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **21.12.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding NOCs issued to Petrol Pumps, Retail Outlets etc.,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2021**.
2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent and Paramjit Singh, Forest Guard, are present. As directed by the Commission, the respondent PIO has submitted a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with several pages by way of information.
3. The appellant has however, contended that the information is deficient. Regarding the deficiencies pointed out, the respondent PIO stated that the LOI sought at point no. 1 pertaining to the Order will be searched and handed over to the appellant if available in the records. Regarding the older record sought by the appellant, the respondent PIO states that record prior to year 2010 has been destroyed as per Government Rules. The appellant's query at point no. 2 of the RTI application regarding the renewal of NOCs, the respondent PIO has stated that no renewal has been done so far. On examining rest of the reply and information furnished, the Commission is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of.**

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.  
Chandigarh  
9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**

S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,

VPO Raisar, Tehsil & District Barnala – 148 101

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**

o/o Divisional Forest Officer, Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**

Conservator of Forests, South Circle,

Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 2392 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563

Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045

Paramjit Singh, Forest Guard (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 5.4.2022 and 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **11.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Baljinder Singh, Forest Guard in three points**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.3.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. As directed at the last hearing on 9.6.2022, the respondent PIO has sent a pointwise reply to the appellant vide Letter No. 79 Dated 12.7.2022, wherein the requested information has been denied as “personal information” as per Section of 8(1)(j) of the Act and the Hon’ble Supreme Court’s Judgment in Case No. 10044 of 2010. The PIO’s decision is upheld.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**

**(ASIT JOLLY)**

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh

9.8.2022

# PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,  
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com),  
Email: [psic21@punjabmail.gov.in](mailto:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in)



## FINAL ORDER

**Rajinder Kumar Sharma,**  
VPO Raisar,  
Barnala-148101.

Versus

**Public Information officer,**  
o/o Divisional Forest Officer,  
Sangrur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
o/o Conservator of Forests,  
South Circle,  
Patiala.

### Appeal Case No. 5536 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Rajinder Kumar Sharma (Appellant) 94635-12563  
Karamjit Kaur, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98147-09045  
Paramjit Singh, Forest Guard (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

*(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.6.2022)*

1. The RTI application is dated **12.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Forest Officer, Manjinder Kaur**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **7.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.6.2022**.

2. Both parties are present. At the last hearing on 9.6.2022, the respondent PIO, was asked to submit a fresh reply to the RTI application after carefully examining the same. As per the respondent PIO, a demand for copying costs of Rs. 33,172/- was raised in a reply to the RTI application, vide Letter No. 226 Dated 22.7.2021. The respondent PIO has now, vide Letter No. 78 Dated 12.7.2022 given the detailed breakup of the copying costs involved. The appellant is advised to deposit said amount with the office of respondent PIO to receive the information he has requested in his RTI application.

3. The respondent PIO is meanwhile directed to submit a copy of Letter No. 78 Dated 12.7.2022 by registered post to this Commission. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

**Sd/-**  
**(ASIT JOLLY)**  
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh  
9.8.2022